BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NO. 2012100460

ORDER OF DETERMINATION OF SUFFICIENCY OF DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT

On October 10, 2012, Parent on behalf of Student (Student) filed a Due Process Hearing Request (complaint) against the Oakland Unified School District (District).

On October 26, 2012, District filed a Notice of Insufficiency (NOI) concerning Student's complaint.

APPLICABLE LAW

The named parties to a due process hearing request have the right to challenge the sufficiency of the complaint.² The party filing the complaint is not entitled to a hearing unless the complaint meets the requirements of Title 20 United States Code section 1415(b)(7)(A).

The complaint is deemed sufficient unless a party notifies the Office of Administrative Hearings and the other party in writing within 15 days of receiving the complaint that the party believes the complaint has not met the notice requirements.³

A complaint is sufficient if it contains: (1) a description of the nature of the problem of the child relating to the proposed initiation or change concerning the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child, or the provision of a free appropriate

¹ A request for a due process hearing under Education Code section 56502 is the due process complaint notice required under Title 20 United States Code section 1415(b)(7)(A).

² 20 U.S.C. § 1415(b) & (c).

³ 20 U.S.C. § 1415(c)(2)(C); Ed. Code, § 56502, subd. (d)(1).

public education (FAPE) to the child; (2) facts relating to the problem; and (3) a proposed resolution of the problem to the extent known and available to the party at the time.⁴ These requirements prevent vague and confusing complaints, and promote fairness by providing the named parties with sufficient information to know how to prepare for the hearing and how to participate in resolution sessions and mediation.⁵

The complaint provides enough information when it provides "an awareness and understanding of the issues forming the basis of the complaint." The pleading requirements should be liberally construed in light of the broad remedial purposes of the IDEA and the relative informality of the due process hearings it authorizes. Whether the complaint is sufficient is a matter within the sound discretion of the Administrative Law Judge. 8

DISCUSSION

Student's complaint was filed on October 10, 2012. Student's complaint included a "Statement of Service" indicating that the complaint was served on District through facsimile, as of October 10, 2012.s District has not indicated that it did not receive Student's complaint on October 10, 2012.

District's NOI was dated, filed with OAH, and served on Student October 26, 2012, which is more than 15 days after District received Student's complaint. Therefore, District's NOI was not filed within the statutorily required timeline, and as such, Student's complaint is deemed sufficient.

⁴ 20 U.S.C. § 1415(b)(7)(A)(ii)(III) & (IV).

⁵ See, H.R.Rep. No. 108-77, 1st Sess. (2003), p. 115; Sen. Rep. No. 108-185, 1st Sess. (2003), pp. 34-35.

⁶ Sen. Rep. No. 108-185, *supra*, at p. 34.

⁷ Alexandra R. v. Brookline School Dist. (D.N.H., Sept. 10, 2009, No. 06-cv-0215-JL) 2009 WL 2957991 at p.3 [nonpub. opn.]; Escambia County Board of Educ. v. Benton (S.D.Ala. 2005) 406 F. Supp.2d 1248, 1259-1260; Sammons v. Polk County School Bd. (M.D. Fla., Oct. 28, 2005, No. 8:04CV2657T24EAJ) 2005 WL 2850076 at p. 3[nonpub. opn.]; but cf. M.S.-G. v. Lenape Regional High School Dist. (3d Cir. 2009) 306 Fed.Appx. 772, at p. 3[nonpub. opn.].

⁸ Assistance to States for the Education of Children With Disabilities and Preschool Grants for Children With Disabilities, 71 Fed.Reg. 46540-46541, 46699 (Aug. 14, 2006).

ORDER

- 1. The complaint is deemed sufficient under Title 20 United States Code section 1415(c)(2)(C) and Education Code section 56502, subdivision (d)(1).
- 2. All mediation, prehearing conference, and hearing dates in this matter are confirmed.

Dated: October 29, 2012

/s/

ADENIYI AYOADE
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings